

Project Title						Team	

		1	2	3	4	5	Notes	
Criteria 1: <b>Presentation structure, quality &amp; effective communication</b>	1a	<b>Clear and logical presentation &amp; structure</b>	Poor presentation with minimal clarity and preparation.	Presentation was limited in clarity and preparation.	Logical presentation structure, soundly prepared.	Presentation was well-prepared and clear. Logical presentation structure.	Presentation was thoroughly prepared and clear. Logical and effective presentation structure.	
	1b	<b>Ability to communicate narrative &amp; engage effectively</b>	Poor narrative. Did not engage effectively. Speech unclear or inaudible.	Limited narrative. Limited engagement. Speech often unclear or inaudible.	Some narrative flow and engagement. Speech is mostly clear and audible.	Good narrative and informative communication and engagement. Speech is clear and audible.	Great narrative and engaging & informative communication. Speech is clear & audible throughout.	
Criteria 2: <b>Presentation content</b>	2a	<b>Demonstration of technical understanding</b>	Minimal technical understanding demonstrated and no solution plausible.	Limited technical understanding demonstrated and few solutions plausible.	Technical understanding demonstrated and some solution plausible.	Good technical understanding demonstrated. Solution is plausible and appropriate to context with some consideration given to the local community.	High level of technical understanding demonstrated. Solution is plausible and highly appropriate to the context. High level of consideration of the local community.	
	2b	<b>Demonstrated an integration of sustainable development into the design process</b>	No consideration for the design's sustainability.	Some reference to the design's social, environmental or economic sustainability but little / no connection made to the project context.	Description of the design's social, environmental and economic sustainability. Some connections made to the project context.	Good description of the design's social, environmental and economic sustainability. Relevant connections made to the project context.	Detailed description of the design's social environmental and economic sustainability. Outstanding and relevant connections made to the project context.	
	2c	<b>Demonstration of an appreciation for the importance of community consultation and capacity building</b>	Little or no demonstration.	Community consultation mentioned but lacks appreciation of the importance of being able to communicate with the community through the design process.	Some discussion of the importance of being able to communicate with the community through the design process.	Good discussion of the importance of community consultation and capacity building through the design process. Includes a plan for implementing communication strategies.	Excellent discussion of the importance of community consultation and capacity building through the design process. Includes a detailed plan for implementing communication strategies and capacity building.	
Criteria 3: <b>Questions</b>	3a	<b>Ability to answer questions accurately &amp; effectively</b>	Very few questions answered, lacking depth.	Some questions answered but not in depth.	Most questions answered but not in depth.	Most questions answered thoroughly.	All questions answered thoroughly.	
<b>Recommend for Award?</b>							<b>Total Score</b>	